On homometric sets in graphs

Maria Axenovich
Department of Mathematics,
Iowa State University,
Ames, IA 50011, USA
email: axenovic@iastate.edu

Lale Özkahya
İstanbul Bilgi Üniversitesi,
Department of Mathematics,
Kurtuluş Deresi Cad. 47,
34435 Dolapdere Beyoğlu İstanbul Turkey
and
Department of Mathematics,
Iowa State University,
Ames, IA 50011, USA
email: ozkahya@illinoisalumni.org

February 25, 2013

Abstract

For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ in a graph $G$, the distance multiset, $D(S)$, is the multiset of pairwise distances between vertices of $S$ in $G$. Two vertex sets are called homometric if their distance multisets are identical. For a graph $G$, the largest integer $h$, such that there are two disjoint homometric sets of order $h$ in $G$, is denoted by $h(G)$. We slightly improve the general bound on this parameter introduced by Albertson, Pach and Young [1] and investigate it in more detail for trees and graphs of bounded diameter. In particular, we show that for any tree $T$ on $n$ vertices $h(T) \geq \sqrt[3]{n}$ and for any graph $G$ of fixed diameter $d$, $h(G) \geq cn^{1/(2d-2)}$.
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1 Introduction

For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the distance multiset, $D(S)$, is the multiset of pairwise distances between vertices of $S$ in $G$. We say that two vertex sets are homometric if their distance sets are identical. “How large could two disjoint homometric sets be in a graph?” was a question of Albertson, Pach and Young [1]. Formally, for a graph $G$, the largest integer $h$, such that there are two disjoint homometric sets $S_1, S_2$ in $G$ with $|S_1| = |S_2| = h$, is denoted by $h(G)$. For a family of graphs $\mathcal{G}$, $h(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the largest value of $h$ such that for each graph $G$ in $\mathcal{G}$, $h(G) \geq h$. Let $h(n)$ be $h(\mathcal{G}_n)$, where $\mathcal{G}_n$ is the set of all graphs on $n$ vertices. In other words, $h(n) = \min \{ h(G) : |V(G)| = n \}$. Albertson, Pach and Young [1] provided the most general bounds.

Theorem 1 ([1]). $\frac{c \log n}{\log \log n} < h(n) \leq \frac{n}{4}$ for $n > 3$, and a constant $c$.

It is an easy observation that $h(G) = \lfloor |V(G)|/2 \rfloor$ when $G$ is a path or $G$ is a cycle. However, more is known. Note that the multisets of distances for a vertex subset of a path corresponds to a multiset of pairwise differences between elements of a subset of positive integers. We shall say that two subsets of integers are homometric if their multisets of pairwise differences coincide. Among others, Rosenblatt and Seymour [8] proved that two multisets $A$ and $B$ of integers are homometric if and only if there are two multisets $U, V$ of integers such that $A = U + V$ and $B = U - V$, where $U + V$ and $U - V$ are multisets, $U + V = \{ u + v : u \in U, v \in V \}$, $U - V = \{ u - v : u \in U, v \in V \}$. Lemke, Skiena and Smith [5] showed that if $G$ is a cycle of length $2n$, then every subset of $V(G)$ with $n$ vertices and its complement are homometric sets. Surprisingly, when the class $\mathcal{G}$ of graphs under consideration is not a path or a cycle, the problem of finding $h(\mathcal{G})$ becomes nontrivial. Even when $\mathcal{G}$ is a class of $n$-vertex graphs that are the unions of pairs of paths sharing a single point, $h(\mathcal{G})$ is not known. Here, we use standard graph-theoretic terminology, see for example [2] or [9].

The homometric set problem we consider here has its origins in Euclidean geometry, with applications in X-ray crystallography introduced in the 1930’s with later applications in restriction site mapping of DNA. In particular, the fundamental problem that was considered is whether one could identify a given set of points from its multiset of distances. There are several related directions of research in the area, for example the question of recognizing the multisets corresponding to a multiset of distances realized by a set of points in the Euclidean space of given dimension, see [7].

In this paper, we provide the new bounds on $h(\mathcal{G})$ in terms of densities and diameter, we also investigate
this function for various classes of graphs, in particular for trees. In Section 2 we state our main results. We give the basic constructions of homometric sets in Section 3. We provide the proofs of the main results in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we give additional bounds for $h(T)$, when $T$ is a tree in terms of its parameters.

2 Main Results

Let $T_n$ the set of all trees on $n$ vertices. A spider is a tree that is a union of vertex-disjoint paths, called legs and a vertex that is adjacent to one of the endpoints of each leg, called the head. Let $S_{n,k}$ be the set of $n$-vertex spiders with $k$ legs and $S_n$ be the set of all $n$-vertex spiders. A caterpillar is a tree, that is a union of a path, called spine, and leaves adjacent to the spine. Let $R_n$ be the set of all caterpillars on $n$ vertices. Finally, a haircomb is a tree, that consists of a path called the spine and a collection of vertex-disjoint paths, called legs, that have an endpoint on the spine. Let $H_n$ be the set of haircombs on $n$ vertices. Here, we slightly improve the known general bounds of $h(G)$, provide new bounds on $h(G)$ in terms of density and diameter, and give several results for $h(T)$ in case when $T$ is a tree.

Theorem 2. For infinitely many values of $n$, and a positive constant $c$, $h(n) \leq n/4 - c \log \log n$.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices with $e$ edges, diameter $d$, $n \geq 5$, $d \geq 2$. If for an integer $k$, \[
\left(\frac{k}{d-1}\right) + d - 1 < n - 2k + 2,
\]
then
\[
h(G) \geq \max\{k, \frac{d}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2e}{n}}\}.
\]

In particular,
\[
h(G) \geq \max\{0.5n^{1/(2d-2)}, \frac{d}{2}, \sqrt{2e/n}\}
\]
for $n \geq c(d) = d^{2d-2}$.

Since it is well known, see [2], that almost all graphs $G(n, p)$ have diameter 2, the above theorem implies that for almost every graph $G = G(n, p)$, $h(G_{n,p}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

In the following theorems, we omit ceilings and floors for simplicity.

Theorem 4. For a positive integer $n$, $h(T_n) \geq n^{1/3} - 1$.

Theorem 5. For a positive integer $n$, $h(R_n) \geq n/6$, $h(H_n) \geq \sqrt{n}/2$. 


Theorem 6. For positive integers $n, k$, such that $k < n$,

$$h(S_{n,k}) \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{5}{12}n, & k = 3, \\
\frac{1}{4}n, & k = 4, \\
\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{8k-12}\right)n, & k \geq 5.
\end{cases}$$

Moreover, $h(S_{n,n/2}) = (n + 2)/4$, and $h(T) = n/2$ for any $T \in S_{n,3}$ with legs on $l_1, l_2, l_3$ vertices if $l_1 + 1 = t(l_2 - l_3)$ for an odd integer $t \geq 1$ or if $l_1 = l_2 = l_3$.

The following two theorems are corollaries of previously known number-theoretic and graph-theoretic results.

Theorem 7. For every fixed $\alpha > 0$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\alpha, \epsilon)$ so that for all $n > N$, if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices, diameter 2 and at most $n^{2-\alpha}$ edges or at least $(n/2) - n^{2-\alpha}$ edges, then $h(G) \geq n/2 - \epsilon n$.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a graph with diameter 2 and even number of vertices $n \geq 90$. Let $V_i = \{v \in V : \deg(v) = i\}$. If $G$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

1) $|\{i : |V_i| \text{ is odd}\}| > n/2$,

2) $|V_i| \text{ is even for each } i$,

then $h(G) = n/2$.

3 Constructions and definitions

In the remaining part of the paper, we may omit the floor and ceiling of fractions for simplicity. For a tree $T$, and its vertex $r$, let $P = P(T, r)$ be a partial order on the vertex set of a tree $T$, such that $x < y$ in $P$ if the $x, r$-path in $T$ contains $y$. We call this an $r$-order of $T$ and say that $T$ is $r$-ordered. A vertex $y$ is a parent of $x$ in $P(T, r)$, and a vertex $x$ is a child of $y$ if $x < y$ and there is no other element $z$ such that $x < z < y$. If neither $x < y$ nor $y < x$ for two elements $x$ and $y$ in $P(T, r)$, then we say that $x$ and $y$ are noncomparable. An antichain is defined as a set of pairwise noncomparable elements. A pair of vertices $x$ and $y$ are called siblings if they have the same parent.

For a vertex $x$ of degree at least 3 in a tree $T$, the connected components of $T - x$ that are paths are called pendent paths of $x$. The endpoints of these paths adjacent to $x$ in $T$ are called attachment vertices.
A vertex $x$ of degree at least 3 is called bad if it has an odd number of pendent paths. Moreover, let a shortest pendent path corresponding to a bad vertex $x$ be called a bad path.

We call a tree $T'$ a cleaned $T$ if $T'$ is obtained from $T$ by removing the vertices of all bad paths. A tree $T''$ is called trimmed $T$ is it is obtained from $T$ by removing the vertices of all bad paths and removing all the vertices except for the attachment vertices of all remaining pendent paths of $T$.

Let $bad(T)$, $bad_3(T)$ be the number of bad vertices, and the number of bad vertices of degree 3 in $T$, respectively. Let $bad_1(T)$ be the total number of vertices in bad paths of $T$. Let $N_i(x)$ be the set of vertices at distance $i$ from a vertex $x$. For two disjoint sets $A', A'' \subseteq V(G)$, let $D(A', A'')$ be the multiset of distances in $G$ between pairs $u'$ and $u''$, $u' \in A'$, $u'' \in A''$.

**Construction 9.** Let $G$ be a graph and $G' = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2t})$ be a shortest $v_1,v_{2t}$-path in $G$, for some $t \geq 1$. Let $S_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$, $S_2 = \{v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{2t}\}$. Since both $S_1$ and $S_2$ induce shortest paths of the same length, they form homometric sets.

**Construction 10.** Let $T$ be a tree and $S$ be an antichain in $P(T, r)$ such that each vertex in $S$, has a sibling in $S$. Let $S'_1, \ldots, S'_k$ be the maximal families of siblings in $S$. Let $S'_i = A_i \cup B_i \cup C_i$, where $A_i, B_i, C_i$ are disjoint, $|A_i| = |B_i|$, $|C_i| \leq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Let $S_1 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_k$, $S_2 = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_k$. The sets $S_1$ and $S_2$ are homometric (see Figure 7).

**Construction 11.** Let $T \in S_{n,3}$ with head $v$ and legs $L_1, L_2, L_3$ on $l_1, l_2, l_3$ vertices, respectively, $l_1 \geq l_2$. Let $L_3$ be a path $v_1, \ldots, v_{l_3}$, where $v_{l_3}$ is a leaf of $T$. Let $S_1$ be the set consisting of $v$, the vertices $v_{2i}$, $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor l_3/2 \rfloor$ and $l_2 - 1$ vertices in $L_1$ closest to $v$. Let $S_2$ be the set consisting of the vertices $v_{2i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor l_3/2 \rfloor$ and $V(L_2)$. The sets $S_1$ and $S_2$ are homometric (see Figure 8(b)).

**Construction 12.** Let $T \in S_{n,3}$ with head $v = v_0$ and legs $L_1, L_2, L_3$ on $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$ vertices, respectively, $\ell_1 > \ell_2$. Let $L_3$ be a path $v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell_3}$, where $v_{\ell_3}$ is a leaf of $T$. Let $l_1 - l_2 = x > 0$, $l_3 + 1 = bx + r$, where $b$ and $r$ are integers, $0 \leq r < x$. If $b$ is odd, let $a = b - 1$ and if $b$ is even, let $a = b$. Let $P_i = \{v_{ix}, \ldots, v_{ix+r-1}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq a$. Let $S_1$ be the union of $P_{2i}$, $0 \leq i \leq a/2$ and $V(L_2)$. Let $S_2$ be the union of $P_{2i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq a/2$ and $V(L_1)$. The sets $S_1$ and $S_2$ are homometric (see Figure 8(c)).
Construction 12 is not used in any of the proofs here. However, it hints that for a tree $T \in S_{n, 3}$, $h(T)$ can be very close to $n/2$, depending on the optimized value of $x$.

Construction 13. Let $T$ be a spider with head $v$ and $k$ legs $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_k$, $k \geq 3$, on $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_k$ vertices, respectively. Let $A_i = V(L_{2i})$, let $B_i$ be the set of $|A_i|$ vertices closest to $v$ in $L_{2i-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor = m$. Then let $S_1 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_m$, $S_2 = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_m$. Clearly $S_1$ and $S_2$ are homometric sets (see Figure 2(d)).

We shall say that two integers are almost equal if they differ by 1, 0, or $-1$.

Theorem 14 (Karolyi [4]). Let $X$ be a set of $m$ integers, each between 1 and $2m - 2$. If $m \geq 89$, then one can partition $X$ into two sets, $X_1$ and $X_2$ of almost equal sizes such that the sum of elements in $X_1$ is almost equal to the sum of elements in $X_2$.

Theorem 15 (Caro, Yuster [3]). For every fixed $\alpha > 0$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ so that for all $n > N$, if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices and at most $n^{2-\alpha}$ edges then there are two vertex disjoint subgraphs of the same order and size with at least $n/2 - \varepsilon n$ vertices in each of them.
Figure 2: Examples of homometric sets in spiders using Constructions 9, 11, 12 (with \( x = 2, a = 4 \)) and 13, respectively. Two homometric sets consist of black and hollow vertices, respectively.

4 Proofs of main results

Definition 16. For a graph \( H \), we say that \( G \) is an \((H, m, v)\)-flower with a path \( P \) if \( G \) is a vertex-disjoint union of \( H \) and an \( m \)-vertex path \( P \) with endpoint \( v \), together with all edges between \( V(H) \) and \( v \).

Lemma 17. Let \( G \) be an \((H, m, v)\)-flower with a path \( P \). If \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are homometric sets of \( G \), where \(|S_1| = |S_2| \geq 2\), then either \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(P) \cup \{u\}, u \in V(H) \) or \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(H) \cup \{v, v_1\} \), where \( v_1 \) is the neighbor of \( v \) in \( P \).

Proof. Let \( P \) be a path of a flower. Let \( S_1, S_2 \) be homometric sets in \( G \) of size at least 2 each. Assume that \((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap V(P) \neq \emptyset \) and \((S_1 \cup S_2) \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset \). Note that \( D(V(H)) \) consists of 1s and 2s.

Consider the vertex \( x \in (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap V(P) \), farthest from \( v \), at a distance at least 2 from \( v \). Without loss of generality, \( x \in S_1 \). If there is \( x' \in S_1 \cap V(H) \) then there is no pair of vertices in \( S_2 \) with the distance equal to the distance between \( x \) and \( x' \). Thus, \( S_1 \cap V(H) = \emptyset \). Let \( a \) be the largest distance in \( D(S_1) \), \( a \geq 3 \). Let \( y \in S_2 \cap V(H) \), let \( y' \) be at a distance \( a \) from \( y \), \( y \in V(P) \). Note that \( a \) appears exactly once in \( D(S_1) \) and thus it appears exactly once in \( D(S_2) \). Since all vertices from \( V(H) \) are at the same distance from \( y \), there is exactly one vertex \( y' \in S_2 \cap V(H) \). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 3. For a fixed integer \( k \), let \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k \) be a sequence of integers such that \( a_0 = 1 \),
Let \( n \) be a smallest odd number satisfying

\[
a_i > 4 \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{a_j + 1}{2} \right).
\]

Let \( n = 2(a_1 + \cdots + a_k) - k/4 \). Let \( H \) be a vertex-disjoint union of cliques on \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) vertices, respectively. Let the vertex sets of these cliques be \( Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k \), respectively. Note that \( a_j > 4 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} a_i \) for \( j \geq 1 \), which implies that

\[
a_j \geq \frac{4}{5} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j} a_i \right).
\]

Let \( G \) be an \( (H, n/2 - k/8, v) \)-flower with a path \( P \). Note that \( k = c \log \log n \), for a constant \( c \). This construction of \( G \) is inspired by an example given by Caro and Yuster in \([3]\).

Let \( S_1, S_2 \) be largest homometric sets in \( G \). By Lemma \([17]\) \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(P) \cup \{u\}, u \in V(H) \) or \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(H) \cup \{v, v_1\} \), where \( v_1 \) is the neighbor of \( v \) in \( P \).

**Case 1** \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(P) \cup \{u\}, u \in V(H) \).

Then \( h(G) \leq (|V(P)| + 1)/2 = n/4 - k/16 + 1/2 = n/4 - c \log \log n \), for a positive constant \( c \).

**Case 2** \( S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(H) \cup \{v, v_1\} \), where \( v_1 \) is the neighbor of \( v \) in \( P \).

Let \( \{v_1\} = Q_0 \), then \( S_1 \cup S_2 \) is a vertex subset of a join of vertex-disjoint cliques on sets \( Q_0, \ldots, Q_k \) and \( \{v\} \). Since \( G[V(H) \cup \{v, v_1\}] \) is a graph of diameter 2, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) induce the same number of edges in \( G \). For \( i = 0, \ldots, k \), let \( Q'_i = Q_i \cup \{v\} \) if \( v \in S_1 \cup S_2 \), and let \( Q'_i = Q_i \) if \( v \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \).

If \( v \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \) and for all \( j, |S_1 \cap Q'_j| = |S_2 \cap Q'_j| \), then, since \( Q_j \) has odd size, \( (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap Q_j \neq Q_j \), and \( h(G) \leq (|V(H)| - k)/2 = n/4 + k/16 - k/2 = n/4 - c' \log \log n \), for a positive constant \( c' \). If \( v \in S_1 \cup S_2 \) and for all \( j, |S_1 \cap Q'_j| = |S_2 \cap Q'_j|, \) then \( |S_1| \neq |S_2| \), since \( v \in Q'_j \) for all \( j \). So, we can assume that there is \( j \), for which \( |S_1 \cap Q'_j| \neq |S_2 \cap Q'_j| \). Let \( j \) be the largest such index and let \( Q'_j = Q \).

Assume first that \( j \leq k/2 - 2 \) and \( v \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \). For each \( i > j \), \( (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap Q_i \neq Q_i \). Thus \( h(G) \leq (n/2 + k/8 - k/2 + 2)/2 = n/4 - c \log \log n \), for a positive constant \( c \).

Now assume that \( j \leq k/2 - 2 \) and \( v \in S_1 \cup S_2 \). Without loss of generality, let \( v \in S_1 \). Let \( Q = Q_0 \cup \cdots \cup Q_j, Q' = Q_{j+1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_k \). Then \( |S_2 \cap Q' - |S_1 \cap Q' \geq k - j - 1 \geq k/2 + 1 \). Since \( |S_1 \cap (Q \cup Q' \cup \{v\})| = |S_2 \cap (Q \cup Q' \cup \{v\})| \), \( |Q| \geq |S_2 \cap Q| + |S_1 \cap Q| \geq k/2 \). Therefore, \([1]\) implies
that \( a_j/2 \geq \frac{1}{10}|Q| \geq \frac{1}{5}(k/2) \geq k/5 \).

So, we have that either \( a_j/2 \geq k/5 \) or that \( j \geq k/2 + 2 \). In any case, we have that \( a_j/2 \geq k/5 \).

If \( |Q - (S_1 \cup S_2)| \geq a_j/2 \), then \( h(G) \leq (n/2 + k/8 - a_j/2)/2 \leq (n/2 + k/8 - k/5)/2 \leq n/4 - c \log \log n \), for a positive constant \( c \). Otherwise, \( |Q \cap (S_1 \cup S_2)| > a_j/2 \). Then, the number of edges induced by \( S_1 \cap Q \) differs from the number of edges induced by \( S_2 \cap Q \) by at least \( a_j/4 \). The number of edges induced by \( S_1 \cap (Q' \cup \{v\}) \) is the same as number of edges induced by \( S_2 \cap (Q' \cup \{v\}) \). The total number of edges induced by \( Q \cup \{v\} \) is at most \( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left( \frac{a_i+1}{2} \right) < a_j/4 \). Thus \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) can not induce the same number of edges, a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.** The fact that \( 2h(G) \geq d \) follows from Construction 9. For positive integers \( k, n \) \((k < n)\), the Kneser graph \( KG(n, k) \) is a graph on the vertex set \( \binom{[n]}{k} \) whose edge set consists of pairs of disjoint \( k \)-sets. Lovász [6] proved that the chromatic number of the Kneser graph \( KG(n, k) \) is \( n - 2k + 2 \). We fix \( k \leq n/2 \) and consider the Kneser graph \( K = KG(n, k) \). Considering a graph \( G \) with vertex set \([n]\), we define a coloring of \( K \) by letting the distance multiset of each \( k \)-subset of \( V(G) \) be the color of the corresponding vertex in \( K \). Since any vertex pair in \( G \) has distance in \([1, \ldots, d]\), the number of possible colors that are used on \( K \) is at most \( \binom{\binom{n}{2} + d - 1}{d-1} \). If

\[
\binom{\binom{n}{2} + d - 1}{d-1} < n - 2k + 2 ,
\]

then there are two adjacent vertices of the same color in \( K \) that correspond to a pair of disjoint \( k \)-subsets of \( V(G) \) that are homometric.

Note that when \( d = 2 \), we have \( \binom{\binom{n}{2} + 1}{d-1} = \binom{k}{2} + 1 < n - 2k + 2 \) for \( k = \sqrt{n} \).

Let \( v \in V(G) \) be a vertex of a maximum degree \( \Delta(G) \). The closed neighborhood \( N[v] \) induces a graph of diameter 2. Moreover, for any two vertices in \( N[v] \), the distance between them in \( G \) is the same as in \( G[N[v]] \). As before, we see that \( \square \) with \( d = 2 \) and \( n = \Delta \) holds for \( k \geq \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \). Therefore, \( h(G[N[v]]) \geq \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \geq \sqrt{(2e/n} + 1 \). This proves the first part of the theorem.

To prove the second statement of the theorem, we assume that \( d \geq 3 \) and \( n \geq d^{2d-2} \) and show that for any \( k \), such that \( d/2 < k \leq 0.5n^{1/(2d-2)} \), the inequality \( \square \) holds. Since \( d - 1 \leq k^2/2 , \ 2^{d-2} \leq (d-1)! , \)
and \( k \leq 0.5n^{1/(2d-2)} \leq n/4 \), we have that
\[
\binom{k}{2} + d - 1 \leq \frac{\binom{k^2}{2} + d - 1}{(d-1)!} \leq \frac{(k^2)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \leq \frac{n}{2} \leq n - 2k + 2.
\]
Therefore, there are homometric sets of size \( k \) for any \( k, d/2 \leq k \leq 0.5n^{1/(2d-2)} \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 18.** Let \( T \) be a tree, \( r \) be its vertex, and \( S \) be an antichain in \( P(T, r) \) such that each vertex \( S \) has a sibling in \( S \). Let \( k' = k'(S) \) be the number of maximal odd sets of siblings in \( S \). Then
\[
2h(T) \geq \max \left\{ \text{diam}(T) + 1, |S| - k', \frac{2}{3} |S|, d_1(T) - \text{bad}(T), \frac{n}{\text{diam}(T)} - \text{bad}(T), \frac{n - \text{bad}_i(T)}{\text{diam}(T)} \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** The fact that \( 2h(T) \geq \text{diam}(T) + 1 \) and \( 2h(T) \geq |S| - k' \) follows immediately from Constructions \( \text{I} \) and \( \text{II} \). Since each maximal family of siblings in \( S \) has at least two elements, \( k' \leq |S|/3 \), thus \( 2h(T) \geq 2|S|/3 \).

For any tree \( T \), Dilworth’s theorem applied to \( P(T) \) implies that the size of a maximum antichain in \( P(T) \) is at least \( n/\text{diam}(T) \). The set of leaves is a maximum antichain of \( P(T) \), thus \( d_1(T) \geq n/\text{diam}(T) \).

Let \( T' \) be trimmed \( T \). Since \( T' \subseteq T \), \( h(T) \geq h(T') \). Let \( S \) be the set of leaves of \( T' \). Note that \( |S| = d_1(T') = d_1(T) - \text{bad}(T) \). Moreover, since \( T' \) has no bad vertices, \( k'(S) = 0 \) in \( T' \). So, \( 2h(T) \geq |S| - k'(S) = d_1(T) - \text{bad}(T) \geq n/\text{diam}(T) - \text{bad}(T) \).

Let \( T'' \) be cleaned \( T \). We have that \( |V(T) \setminus V(T')| = \text{bad}_i(T), \) moreover, \( T'' \) has no bad vertices. Thus \( 2h(T) \geq 2h(T'') \geq |d_1(T'')| \geq (n - \text{bad}_i(T))/\text{diam}(T'') \geq (n - \text{bad}_i(T))/\text{diam}(T). \)

**Proof of Theorem 4** Assume that \( v \) is a vertex contained in the center of \( T \) and let \( t = \lfloor \text{diam}(T)/2 \rfloor \). Let \( P = P(T, v) \). We define a partition \( V(T) = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \cdots \cup N_t \), where \( N_i = N_i(v) \). So, \( N_i \) is an antichain in \( P \), \( i = 1, \ldots, t \). Since \( h(T) \geq t \), we can assume that \( t \leq n^{1/3} - 1 \), and thus \( \text{diam}(T) \leq 2n^{1/3} - 2 \). Let \( x \) be the smallest integer such that \( |N_i| \leq |N_{i-1}| + x - 1 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t \). Then \( n = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{t} |N_i| \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{t} ix \leq x(t + 1)^2/2 \).

Since \( t \leq n^{1/3} - 1 \), by the above inequality we have \( x \geq 2n^{1/3} \). For some \( j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq t \), \( |N_j| - |N_{j-1}| = x - 1 \). Let \( S \) be a largest subset of \( N_j \) such that each vertex in \( S \) has a sibling in \( S \). Recall that \( k'(S) \) is the number of maximal sets of siblings in \( S \) of odd size, i.e., \( k'(S) \) is the number of vertices of \( N_{j-1} \)
with odd number of neighbors in \(N_j\). So, \(|S| - k'(S) \geq |N_j| - |N_{j-1}| = x - 1 \geq 2n^{1/3} - 1.\) By Lemma 18, \(h(T) \geq n^{1/3} - 1.\)

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let \(T\) be a caterpillar on \(n\) vertices and assume that its spine \(P\) has at least \(n/3\) vertices. Then, by Theorem 3, \(h(T) \geq n/6.\) If \(P\) has less than \(n/3\) vertices, then there are at least \(2n/3\) leaves. Assume that there are exactly \(k\) vertices on the spine with degree at least 4, label them as \(v_1, \ldots, v_k\). Apply Lemma 18 to a set \(S\) consisting of leaves incident to a vertex in \(v_1,\ldots, v_k\). Then \(k'(S) \leq k\) and \(2h(T) \geq |S| - k'(S) \geq (n - |V(P)|) - k \geq n - 2|V(P)| \geq n/3.\)

Let \(T\) be a haircomb with \(m\) vertices on its spine and \(k\) spinal vertices, where \(k \leq m\). We denote the length of the \(i\)th leg of \(T\) with \(l_i\). Since \((k + 1)\max(l_1, \ldots, l_k, m) \geq m + \sum_{i}^k l_i = n\), either \(k + 1 \geq \sqrt{n}\) implying \(m \geq k + 1 \geq \sqrt{n}\) or \(l_i \geq \sqrt{n}\) for some \(i\), which implies that \(\text{diam}(T) \geq \sqrt{n}\). By Theorem 3, \(2h(T) \geq \sqrt{n}.\)

**Proof of Theorem 6.**

Let \(m\) be an integer such that \(k = 2m\) or \(k = 2m + 1\). Using Construction 13 we see that

\[
2h(G) \geq n - [(l_1 - l_2) + (l_3 - l_4) + \ldots + (l_{2m-1} - l_{2m}) + x + 1] \geq n - l_1 + l_{2m} - x - 1, \tag{3}
\]

where \(x = 0\) if \(k\) is even and \(x = l_k\) if \(k\) is odd. This observation is used in the following cases.

- Let \(k = 3.\) Let \(\min(l_1 - l_2, l_2 - l_3) = cn\) for some \(c \geq 0,\) where \(l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l_3.\) By Construction 11 we have \(2h(T) \geq n - cn.\) Without loss of generality, assume that \(l_1 - l_2 = cn.\) Then \(l_1 = l_2 + cn\) and \(l_2 \geq l_3 + cn\) by our assumption and therefore, \(n = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 1 \geq 3l_3 + 3cn.\) This implies that \(l_3 \leq (n - 3cn)/3\) and by Lemma 18 \(2h(T) \geq l_1 + l_2 + 1 = n - l_3 \geq 2n/3 + cn.\) Thus

\[
2h(S_{n,3}) \geq \min_{0 \leq c \leq 1} \max \left\{ n - cn, \frac{2n}{3} + cn \right\} \geq 5n/6.
\]

- Let \(k = 4.\) We have \(2h(T) \geq \text{diam}(T) + 1 \geq l_1 + l_2 + 1\) and 13 provides that \(2h(T) \geq n - (l_1 - l_4) - 1.\) Adding these inequalities gives that \(4h(T) \geq n + l_2 + l_4.\) By letting \(l_2 + l_4 = c'n,\) for some \(c', 0 \leq c' \leq 1,\) we rewrite this bound as \(4h(T) \geq (1 + c')n.\) On the other hand, \(2h(T) \geq \text{diam}(T) + 1 \geq l_1 + l_3 + 1 = (1 - c')n.\) Thus,

\[
2h(S_{n,4}) \geq \min_{0 \leq c' \leq 1} \max \left\{ \frac{(1 + c')n}{2}, (1 - c')n \right\} \geq 2n/3.
\]
Let $k \geq 5$. Let $T$ be a spider on $n$ vertices with $k$ legs having $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_k$ vertices, respectively, and the head $v$. Observe that $l_2 \geq (n - l_1 - 1)/(k - 1)$. Assume that $2h(T) = cn$ for some $c > 0$. By Lemma [18] $2h(T) = cn \geq \text{diam}(T) + 1 \geq l_1 + l_2 + 1 \geq l_1 + (n - l_1 - 1)/(k - 1) + 1$. Thus, $-l_1 \geq n(1 - ck + c)/(k - 2) + 1$. Using (3), $cn = 2h(T) \geq n - l_1 - 1 \geq n + \frac{n(1 - ck + c)}{k - 2}$. Since $k$ is fixed, this implies that $c \geq 1/2 + 3/(4k - 6)$, i.e., $h(T) \geq n/4 + 3n/(8k - 12)$.

The bound $h(S_{n,k}) \geq (n + 2)/4$ for $k \geq 5$ is attained by a spider $T$ with $n/2$ single-vertex legs, and one leg, $P$, with $n/2 - 1$ vertices. If $H$ is an empty graph on $n/2$ vertices, then $T$ is an $(H, n/2 - 1, v)$-flower, where $v$ is the head of $T$. Let $S_1, S_2$ be homometric sets of $T$. Then by Lemma [17] $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(H) \cup \{v, v_1\}$ or $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq V(P) \cup \{v, u\}$, where $u \in V(H)$, $v_1 \in V(P)$ is adjacent to $v$. In the first case, we can easily see that $v \notin S_1 \cup S_2$, so $2h(T) \leq n/2 + 1$.

\[ \square \]

Proof of Theorem [9] In a graph with diameter at most 2, any two distinct vertices are at distance 1 or 2. Therefore, Theorem [15] implies this result.

Proof of Theorem [8] First, we give a fact observed in [8] stating that if $A \subseteq V(G)$ and $\sum_{v \in A} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \notin A} \deg(v)$ then $|E(G[A])| = |E(G[V - A])|$. To see this, note that $|E(G[A])| = (1/2)((\sum_{v \in A} \deg(v)) - |E(A, V - A)|)$ and $|E(G[V - A])| = (1/2)((\sum_{v \in V - A} \deg(v)) - |E(A, V - A)|)$. So, the difference of these two numbers is $\frac{1}{2}(|\sum_{v \in A} \deg(v) - \sum_{v \notin A} \deg(v)| = 0$.

Let $D = \{0, 1, \ldots, \Delta(G)\}$ and $i \in D$. Let

\[ V_i = \{v \in V : \deg(v) = i\}, \quad V_i = A_i \cup B_i \cup S_i, \]

where $A_i, B_i, S_i$ are disjoint, $|S_i| \leq 1$ and $|A_i| = |B_i|$. I.e., split $V_i$ into two equal parts if possible, and otherwise, put a remaining vertex into a set $S_i$.

Let \[ A' = \bigcup_{i \in D} A_i, \quad B' = \bigcup_{i \in D} B_i, \quad S = \bigcup_{i \in D} S_i. \] So, $V(G) = A' \cup B' \cup S$. Let $s(G) = |S|$. We shall show that there are disjoint subsets $A, B$ of $n/2$ vertices each, such that $\sum_{v \in A} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \in B} \deg(v)$, i.e., $A$ and $B$ induce the same number of edges in $G$.

If $|V_i|$ is even for each $i$, let $A = A'$ and $B = B'$. 
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Now, assume that \( m = |\{ i : |V_i| \text{ is odd}\}| > n/2 \). Note that all vertices in \( S \) have distinct odd degrees \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \), say \( 1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m < n \), and since \( m > n/2 \), \( n < 2m \). Note also that \( m \) is even, since the total number of vertices of odd degree is even. So, we could apply Theorem 14 and split \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \) in two parts, \( U \) and \( U' \) of equal sizes and with almost equal sums. Since \( \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \in A'} \deg(v) + \sum_{v \in B'} \deg(v) + \sum_{v \in S} \deg(v) = 2 \sum_{v \in A'} \deg(v) + \sum_{v \in S} \deg(v) \), and this degree-sum is even, it follows that \( \sum_{v \in S} \deg(v) \) is even. Thus \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = \sum_{v \in S} \deg(v) \) is even, and the sum of elements in \( U \) is exactly equal to the sum of elements in \( U' \). Let

\[
A'' = \{ v \in S : \deg(v) \in U \}, \quad B'' = \{ v \in S : \deg(v) \in U' \}.
\]

Finally, let \( A = A' \cup A'' \) and \( B = B' \cup B'' \).

5 More results on trees

For a tree \( T \), let \( d_i = d_i(T) \) be the number of vertices of degree \( i \).

Lemma 19.

a) \( d_1 - \text{bad}(T) \geq 2 + \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i \),

b) \( d_1 \geq 2/3(n - d_2 - d_3) \), and

c) \( \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i \leq (1/3)(n - d_2 - d_3) \).

Proof. Observe first that \( d_1 = 2 + \sum_{i \geq 3} d_i(i - 2) \). Thus \( d_1 \geq 2 + \sum_{i \geq 4} 2d_i \).

Since bad vertices have degree at least 3, we have that \( \text{bad}(T) \leq d_3 + \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i \), so \( d_1 - \text{bad}(T) \geq 2 + \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i \). We also have that \( d_1 \geq \sum_{i \geq 4} 2d_i = 2(n - d_1 - d_2 - d_3) \), thus \( d_1 \geq 2/3(n - d_2 - d_3) \). To show the last inequality, observe that \( \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i = n - d_1 - d_2 - d_3 \), so using (b), \( \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i = n - d_1 - d_2 - d_3 \geq n - 2/3(n - d_2 - d_3) - (d_2 + d_3) = 1/3(n - d_2 - d_3) \).

Theorem 20. Let \( T \) be a tree on \( n \) vertices. Then \( h(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n}) \) if one of the following holds:

a) \( \text{bad}(T) = o(\sqrt{n}) \),

b) \( \text{bad}_3(T) = o(\sqrt{n}) \) and \( \text{bad}(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n}) \),

c) \( \text{bad}_4(T) = o(n) \).

Moreover \( h(T) \geq \max\{(n - d_2 - 4d_3)/6, (n - d_1 - d_2)/(\text{diam}(T) + 1)\} \).
Comment. There are trees on $n$ vertices, such as double haircombs, for which our proof techniques do not provide bounds of the order of magnitude $\sqrt{n}$. A double haircomb is constructed from a haircomb by attaching vertex-disjoint paths to the vertices of the legs, see Figure 3. By appropriately choosing the distances between the vertices of degree 3, one can construct an $n$-vertex double haircomb $T$, such that $\text{bad}_3(T) \geq c \sqrt{n}$, $\text{bad}_l(T) \geq c'n$ for some constants $c, c' > 0$ and $\text{diam}(T) = o(\sqrt{n})$.

![Figure 3: A double haircomb.](image)

Proof of Theorem 20. To prove the first part of the theorem, we assume throughout the proof that $\text{diam}(T) = o(\sqrt{n})$, otherwise by Lemma 18, $h(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

- $\text{bad}(T) = o(\sqrt{n})$. By Lemma 18, $2h(T) \geq n/\text{diam}(T) - \text{bad}(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.
- $\text{bad}_3(T) = o(\sqrt{n})$ and $\text{bad}(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.
  By Lemma 19, $d_1 - \text{bad}(T) \geq \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i \geq \text{bad}(T) - \text{bad}_3(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$. Lemma 18 implies that $2h(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.
- $\text{bad}_l(T) = o(n)$. By Lemma 18, $h(T) \geq (n - \text{bad}_l(T))/\text{diam}(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

To prove the second part of the theorem, we use Lemma 18 and Lemma 19 (b),(c). We have that $2h(T) \geq d_1 - \text{bad}(T) \geq (d_1 - \sum_{i \geq 4} d_i) - d_3 \geq 1/3(n - d_2 - d_3) - d_3 = 1/3(n - d_2 - 4d_3)$.

Let $S$ be the set of vertices with degree at least 3 in $T$, $|S| = n - (d_1 + d_2)$. Let $r$ be a leaf in $T$, $V(T) = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \cdots \cup N_t$, where $N_0 = \{r\}$, $N_i = N_i(r)$ and $t = \lceil \text{diam}(T)/2 \rceil$. There is an $i \in [t]$ such that $|N_i(r) \cap S| \geq |S|/t$. Let $L = N_i(r) \cap S$. We have $|L| \geq (n - d_1 - d_2)/t \geq 2(n - d_1 - d_2)/(\text{diam}(T) + 1)$.
Let the set of children of $L$ with respect to $P(T, r)$ be $L'$. Since each vertex in $S$ and thus in $L$ has degree at least three, $|L'| \geq 2|L|$. Using Lemma 18 and the fact that $k'(L') \leq |L|$, we have $h(T) \geq (|L'| - k'(L'))/2 \geq (2|L| - |L|)/2 = |L|/2 \geq (n - d_1 - d_2)/(\text{diam}(T) + 1)$. 

6 Concluding Remarks

The definition of homometric sets allows for little control over what pairs of vertices realize what distance. This makes proving the upper bounds on $h(n)$ difficult. One may consider another definition for two sets being homometric. For a graph $G$, let $K(G) = (V(G), c)$ be a complete graph on vertex set $V(G)$ with an edge-coloring $c$, where $c(u, v)$ is equal to the distance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$. Let two disjoint sets $S_1, S_2 \subset V(G)$ be similar if there is an isomorphism between $K(G)[S_1]$ and $K(G)[S_2]$. Note that there may be homometric sets that are not similar. Let $T$ be a spider with four long legs of equal length, let $S_1$ consist of four vertices on distinct legs with distances 2, 2, 2, 6 to the head of the spider, respectively, let $S_2$ be the set of four vertices - one is the head, three other are on three distinct legs with distance 4 to the head. Although $D(S_1) = D(S_2) = \{4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 8\}$, $S_1$ and $S_2$ are not similar, since there is a triangle with all edges colored 4 in $K(G)[S_1]$ and there is a triangle with all edges colored 8 in $K(G)[S_2]$.
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